

OCTOBER 13, 2025

MINISTRY UPDATE..... 2

*Glendale Crusade Demonstrates
Capitol Ministries' Top-Down
Strategy*

VERSE OF THE WEEK..... 3

Luke 3:9

MINISTRY LEADER PROFILE 6

*Jon Cassel
Assistant Global Director,
International Ministries;
Assistant Global Director, Africa;
International Conference
Coordinator*

ABOUT CAPITOL MINISTRIES 8



POWERED BY LOGOS BIBLE SOFTWARE



FOCUSED ON THE WORD

○ ○ ● PART 3 OF 3

Societal Deterioration and the Epochal Analysis of a Bungling Church



In this three-part series, we have been examining evangelism and discipleship as the primary calling of the institution of the Church and how that relates to the five major epochs of American Church history. We've given a close look at how well the Church has executed that primary focus that Jesus instructed His Church to be about until He returns.

This week we will examine the last three of the five epochs with this in mind. I think you will find this study very

Continued on next page

WHITE HOUSE CABINET AMBASSADORS AND GOVERNORS

Alex Acosta DC
Mike Braun IN
Phil Bryant MS
Dr. Ben Carson DC
Mike Dunleavy AK
Greg Gianforte MT
Mark Gordon WY
Pete Hegseth DC
Mike Huckabee DC
Sarah Huckabee Sanders AR
Mike Keboe MO
David Perdue DC
Sonny Perdue GA
Rick Perry TX
Jim Pillen NE
Tate Reeves MS
Kim Reynolds IA
Brooke Rollins DC
Kevin Stitt OK
Scott Turner DC
Russell Vought DC
Scott Walker WI
Glenn Youngkin VA

SENATORS

John Thune
Senate Majority Leader
Marsha Blackburn TN
Katie Britt AL
Ted Budd NC
Bill Cassidy LA
Kevin Cramer ND
Steven Daines MT
Joni Ernst IA
William Hagerty TN
Cindy Hyde-Smith MS
James Lankford OK
Markwayne Mullin OK
Mike Rounds SD
Tim Scott SC

REPRESENTATIVES

Mike Johnson
Speaker of the House
Mark Alford MO
Robert Aderholt AL
Rick Allen GA
Brian Babin TX
Don Bacon NE
Nick Begich AK
Michael Bost IL
Josh Brecheen OK
Tim Burchett TN
John Carter TX
Juan Ciscomani AZ
Rick Crawford AR
Jake Ellzey TX
Ron Estes KS
Glenn Grothman WI
Pat Harrigan NC
Kevin Hern OK
Richard Hudson NC
Bill Huizenga MI
Dusty Johnson SD
Jim Jordan OH
Doug LaMalfa CA
Nathaniel Moran TX
Gary Palmer AL
August Pfluger TX
David Rouzer NC
John Rutherford FL
Marlin Stutzman IN
Glenn Thompson PA
Tim Walberg MI
Randy Weber TX
Daniel Webster FL
Bruce Westerman AR
Roger Williams TX
Rob Wittman VA
Steve Womack AR
Rudy Yakym IN

The views expressed in each Bible Study are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position of any individual Bible Study sponsor.

WEEKLY BIBLE STUDIES

White House Cabinet and Governors: Wednesdays 8am (EST), light breakfast served

Senate Members: Tuesdays 8am, rotating offices, hot breakfast served

House Members: Thursdays 8am, Natural Resources, Longworth 1334, hot breakfast served



MINISTRY UPDATE

Glendale Crusade Demonstrates Capitol Ministries’ Top-Down Strategy



Charlie Kirk’s Memorial Crusade in Glendale, Arizona, provided a vivid illustration of Capitol Ministries’ long-standing top-down strategy: when leaders boldly proclaim Christ, their influence ripples throughout society.

“Seeing our nation’s political leaders preach the Gospel to a worldwide audience was a direct fulfillment of Capitol Ministries’ vision,” said Ralph Drollinger, Founder and President of Capitol Ministries.

This approach is outlined in Drollinger’s book *All in Authority: Reigniting the Bible’s Top-Down Missions Strategy* where he posits that God’s design for the nations is for those in authority to serve as ministers for good to those under their care.

Continued on page 4

insightful as to your personal understanding of the present spiritual fabric of our nation and how important it is for the Church to “stay in its lane” and execute the primacy of its calling in order to best effectuate preservation and illumination in our great, but increasingly troubled, nation.

Read on, my friend.

Ralph Drollinger

I. 1920: THE FUNDAMENTALIST REACTION

One of the recurring themes in Joel Carpenter’s classic book *Revive Us Again: The Reawakening of American Fundamentalism* is the idea that apart from evangelism, the Fundamentalist movement’s social involvement was motivated out of reactionary pride to take back the center stage from the Modernists who had stolen it away from the Puritans. States Carpenter:

Those who founded the fundamentalist movement witnessed this shift in cultural leadership and began to notice that their own status and influence was waning.¹

Earlier in his book he states:

[They saw] their status as community leaders and the influence of their evangelical values decrease sharply while a new elite of university-trained secular professors and liberal clergy gained power and prestige. ... Fundamentalists had been deeply shamed in the battles of the 1920’s, but they could not give up on the vision of a Christian America.²

The human desire to get back all that had been lost to the liberals, i.e., seminaries, colleges, denominations, churches, mission agencies, publishing companies, and the like was a compelling motive that seemed to eclipse the need for a clearly reasoned and biblical theology relative to how to go about



doing that. This same compelling desire seemed to eclipse, as well, the need to stop and question the validity of the earlier Puritan objective to “Christianize America” as was motivated by a faulty Postmillennial eschatology. Furthermore, there existed an underlying assumption by Fundamentalists that that which was lost was that which God intended for believers to get back and always possess. Accordingly, Fundamentalists sought many means to “take back America from the liberals,” but there exists no biblically reasoned document by any leader during the period as to how believers should achieve that. Fundamentalists were motivated and driven by, if not captivated by, an overwhelming reactionary pragmatism to recover their huge losses.

One of the chief intellectual spokespersons for Fundamentalism (although he did not identify himself as a Fundamentalist) was, as already mentioned, J. Gresham Machen. Importantly, Machen argued against Fundamentalist political/social involvement that was intended to change culture.³ Machen believed it was too easy for the Church, when focused on means other than evangelism and discipleship, to lapse into a moralizing campaign void of a biblical justification. “Why try to take back that aspect of Theological Liberalism?” he reasoned. Machen alludes to this when he says,

The Christian Missionary, ... his chief business, he believes, is the saving of souls, and souls are saved not by [teaching] the mere ethical principles of

Jesus but by His redemptive work. ... [H]uman goodness [the emphasis of theological liberalism] will avail nothing for lost souls; ye must be born again.⁴

Theological liberals had united with the institution of the State in order to forward a false gospel: that salvation was not through personal conversion, which is the basis of solid historical, biblically driven Christianity, but rather by means of a Social Gospel. They taught that social redemption is what Jesus and the Bible are all about, not what the Bible actually says it’s about—personal redemption. Thus, the reaction of the legitimate Christian—the Fundamentalist—to this aberrant view of the gospel was to withdraw from all forms of civil governmental involvement. Second Corinthians 6:17 was a passage used to justify such separatist actions: **“COME OUT FROM THEIR MIDST AND BE SEPARATE,” says the Lord. “AND DO NOT TOUCH WHAT IS UNCLEAN.”** For sure, the *militant Fundamentalist did not want to be perceived as a Modernist!* This reactionary withdrawal would have a devastating effect on the future of America.

The Fundamentalist, who understood and believed in the power of change via personal conversion to Christ, who held a Luke chapter 3 understanding of societal change, and possessed the unadulterated message of salvation, had a knee-jerk reaction to ever being remotely associated with Modernism and retreated from the mission field of the State! Summarily,

VERSE OF THE WEEK




Luke 3:9

“Indeed the axe is already laid at the root of the trees; so every tree that does not bear good fruit is cut down and thrown into the fire.”



Without its strong suit—the primacy of evangelism—any other play the Church may make to change the State will prove powerless and ineffective.





Continued from page 2

Scripture affirms this calling in Paul's commissioning: *"Go, for he is a chosen instrument of Mine, to bear My name before the Gentiles and kings and the sons of Israel"* (Acts 9:15). In obedience to this mandate, "Paul traveled almost exclusively to capital cities—the cities of greatest influence! ... On his three missionary journeys, Paul pinpointed, went to, and planted churches in cities where *kings and all who are in authority* lived" (p. 9).

The Glendale event was a living demonstration of this top-down strategy: leaders transformed by God's Word, using their platforms to advance the Gospel to the nation.

One notable example was former Secretary of HUD Dr. Ben Carson, a past participant in Capitol Ministries' White House Cabinet Bible Studies, who drew a profound connection between Charlie Kirk's passing and the Gospel of John. "I want to read a passage from John 12:24," Dr. Carson said in a measured tone, "remembering that Charlie was shot at 12:24 p.m.: *Verily, verily, I say unto you* (this is Christ speaking), *Except a corn of wheat fall into the ground and die, it abideth alone; but if it die, it bringeth forth much fruit* [KJV].

Continued next page

Whereas the Puritans
were intrinsically
intertwined with
the State to achieve
Postmillennial
objectives, a reactionary
Fundamentalist had now
abandoned it!

The Fundamentalists held a proper understanding of how best to achieve societal change in the sense of Luke 3 and Ephesians (and the other parallel passages listed in the endnotes of the first study in this series)—that coming to Christ for salvation would internally change a sinner and result in his becoming a good citizen. But they abandoned the mission field of civil government to distance themselves from the Modernist and as a way to discredit those unbiblical views.

Fundamentalists took on an attitude of "politics is dirty." To this day many Fundamentalist pastors want nothing to do with governmental involvement. How tragic! (Many of you elected leaders whom I counsel experience this disdain, ask me about it, and wonder, "Why?" The above will aid you in your understanding of this matter.) Based on Scripture, both Theological Liberalism and the Fundamentalist's withdrawal from politics is faulty theology.

In our search across American Church history for the application of the simple truth of Luke, chapter 3—that saving faith is the best progenitor of societal advance—let us recap:

A Summation of the First Three Epochs

The **Puritans** engaged culture motivated by Postmillennialism rather than personal evangelism.

The **Modernists** engaged culture motivated by a social understanding of Jesus, not personal evangelism.

The **Fundamentalists** did not engage culture even though they believed wholeheartedly that personal evangelism was the way to change it.

II. 1950: THE BIRTH OF NEO-EVANGELICALISM

During the late 1940s, Harold Ockenga and Carl Henry, among others, establish Neo-evangelicalism with the intent of sanding-off the seemingly rough edges of an increasingly sectarian militant Fundamentalism. By this time Fundamentalism had been bloodied in its war with liberal Protestantism, and its resulting public image was one of a combatant, which in simple terms had marginalized its influence in the eyes of broad society. Accordingly, *Neo-evangelical* is coined, a new title to a movement and desire to, among other things, increase Evangelical influence in society. Motivated by the belief that Fundamentalism had isolated itself from playing a major



role in the influence of American culture, the purveyors of Neo-evangelicalism attempted a “Christian religion metamorphosis,” a makeover, a reset, a reintroduction of biblical Christianity. This “new chapter” idea can be illustrated in several ways.

First is the landmark article that appeared in *Christian Life Magazine* in March 1956 titled, “Is Evangelical Theology Changing?”⁵ Contributors to the article were numerous noted Christian leaders. Among the eight listed major changes from Fundamentalism to Neo-evangelicalism was the need to have “a more definite recognition of social responsibility.” The article states in this regard, “Nevertheless—unlike Fundamentalism—Neo-evangelicalism realizes the Church has a prophetic mission to society. There are times when the Church must thunder, ‘Thus saith the Lord!’” The article goes on to say in greater specificity, “We must ... make Evangelicalism more relevant to the political and sociological realities of our time.” But the article fails to build a biblical basis for the aforementioned conclusive statement. It follows that Carl Henry does not cite the simplicity of what John the Baptist is stating in Luke 3; in fact, to do so would counter his argument.

Carl Henry was the leading voice in the Neo-evangelical movement. He is known for his leading work in this regard, titled *The Uneasy Conscience of Modern Fundamentalism*. This book represents the magna carta of the Neo-evangelical position as the emerging movement attempts a pendulum swing away from historic mili-

tant Fundamentalism. It is important to note this big picture book thesis when examining Henry’s overall reactionary argumentation.

From a sense of logic and reasoning, Henry’s postulations seem quite persuasive. However, again, he fails to provide any sort of biblical basis for his thesis regarding the necessity of a social emphasis by the Church. Sadly, his thesis for Neo-evangelicalism’s engagement in culture is not based on Luke 3. As a matter of fact, again and again, the simple formula of Luke 3 is nowhere to be found in Henry’s book!

This omission is hugely unfortunate because in this next major epoch of American Church history, the Church will once again miss the biblical way in which it should primarily—and is best suited in terms of its overall equipping and effectiveness—relate to the State!

When Henry makes the charge that “Modern Fundamentalism does not explicitly sketch the social implications of its message for the non-Christian world,” he is correct as it relates to Fundamentalism’s abject withdrawal from the political arena. However, what he and his movement are about to do is use the Church without its trump card of evangelism!

**Without its strong suit—
the primacy of evangelism—
any other play the Church
may make to change the
State will prove powerless
and ineffective.**

“And I want to thank Charlie for his sacrifice, because much fruit is going to be realized,” he concluded.

Again, from *All in Authority*, the book of Acts records that “of the thirteen individual conversions ... at least seven are politically related. ... The common thread among these converts is found in 1 Timothy 2:2, *all who are in authority*.” “Jesus intends for His body of believers to carry out this same missional strategy, priority, and principle today” (p. 10).

“Within the Great Commission exists the specific missional priority of reaching political leaders for Christ. And I would go so far as to say that fulfilling this priority is the key not only to fulfilling the Great Commission, but also to advancing a nation,” said Ralph Drollinger (p. 19).

Glendale’s five-hour, Gospel-centered crusade, led by public servants, stood as a powerful testimony to this principle: the impact of public servants preaching the Gospel to a worldwide audience is unmatched.

1. Ralph Drollinger, *All in Authority: Reigniting the Bible’s Top-Down Missions Strategy* (Santa Clarita, CA: Capitol Ministries, 2023)



MINISTRY LEADER PROFILE

Jon Cassel



In partnership with Capitol Ministries, Jon Cassel fulfills three very important roles as he serves as Assistant Global Director for International Ministries, Assistant Global Director for Africa, and as International Conference Coordinator.

As CapMin's Global Director for African, Jon helped CapMin establish ministries with national leaders in 30 countries. Today, as Assistant Director, he continues to employ his gifts in administration, planning, and discipleship among national political leaders across the globe.

Jon is originally from Pennsylvania where he worked on farms and in the railroad industry, including as engineer. In the 1970s Jon sensed a call from God to ministry. He went on to serve with the Mennonite Central Committee in Zaire (now the Democratic Republic of the Congo), decades working with the Christian Broadcasting Network in Zaire and Senegal, Trans World Radio in Benin, and World Team in Cameroon.

Continued next page

Many more voices of founding Neo-Evangelical influence that advocated social-political change via the Church in ways other than its God-commissioned means of evangelism could be cited. Important to note, however, is this: even though one of the major tenets of Neo-evangelicalism is social involvement and reform similar to the emphasis of Theological Liberalism (but without its doctrinal heresy), *the leaders provided no scriptural basis as justification for social involvement.* This is evident in what is missing from The Lausanne Covenant itself!¹⁶—and in doing so, they completely overlook the simple model and instruction of Luke 3!

III. 1975: THE BIRTH OF THE RELIGIOUS RIGHT

Evangelicals' attempts to change the cultural direction of America through political involvement perhaps bloom more fully in the mid-1970s than ever before. Fundamentalist Pastor Jerry Falwell founds the Moral Majority.⁷ Thereafter televangelist Pat Robertson takes the mantle of leadership via the auspices of his moralizing Christian organization, The Christian Coalition, founded in the mid-1980s and led by Ralph Reed. And then about ten years later, Focus on the Family's Dr. James Dobson takes that baton. It is the latter's organization that published the book, *Why You Can't Stay Silent: A Biblical Mandate to Shape Our Culture.*⁸

I should first couch what I am about to say with this: the late Dr. Falwell was good friend of mine as were Dr. Rob-

ertson and Dr. Dobson. I loved and respected these men dearly. Focus on the Family's book is the first major attempt to provide a biblical basis for cultural involvement by Evangelicals, but again, unfortunately, little is said about Luke 3 and the simple yet profound three examples of the relationship between evangelism and societal change that are recorded in the passage. Why is it we can't seem to get this right? Why do we keep missing what Luke 3 says is the way to go about this?

From one epoch of American Church history to another—we can't seem to land on the simplicity of what the Bible teaches in this regard.

IV. SUMMARY

We have attempted to encapsulate the major epochs of American Church history as it relates to this subject. Luke 3 is the way the Church is to engage in culture, but for one reason or another as seen in this study, Luke 3 is missing in each. The best way the Church should relate to the State is via evangelism! The State needs it desperately, but the Church never seems to give the State what it needs!

The Puritans did a lot of evangelism out of necessity, although motivated primarily by Postmillennialism. And because they did, America was founded with a dynamism and power unmatched in world history.

Today we still ride the wave of their evangelistic success brought about through the beliefs and actions of our Founding Fathers. The Puritan epoch



had it right, even though their eschatological motivation for doing so was incorrect.

But that tsunami of the Puritan influence has lessened greatly over the years due to all the following epochs of American Church history wherein we have been unable to dial it correctly: Theological liberals abandoned solid, biblical doctrine as they sought to influence America with a gospel of their own manufacture. Fundamentalists had the gospel right but retreated from culture. Neo-evangelicals in their attempt to right the wrong of Fundamental sectarianism still failed in that their solution neglected to include the primacy and simplicity of evangelism. And the Religious Right movement, although full of sincere passion, also underemphasized the simplicity and focus of evangelism, substituting in its place the complexity of policy change—and though commendable and necessary in a “we the people” nation, such an emphasis is not as powerful as is calling people

to repentance and new life in Christ—just like John the Baptist did. When a person repents and receives the indwelling Holy Spirit, he cannot help but become a good citizen and a benefit to society! That is what Luke 3 illustrates!

Will the next epoch of American Church history—one that I think is about to begin—be characterized by the primacy and simplicity of evangelism relative to the State, or will we somehow miss this directive once again with an aberrant emphasis on social justice or some other fad?

We need Churches and Church members to evangelize political leaders and those in their neighborhoods—just like Jesus tells the apostle Paul to do in Acts 9:15—and Paul instructs Pastor Timothy to do in 1 Timothy 2:1–4! May God give us Luke 3 clarity in the next epoch of American Church history: one that not only builds God’s eternal Kingdom, but best thwarts societal deterioration at the same time.

Jon has accumulated 25 years in Africa and well understands the customs, traditions, and way of life in many nations. He also speaks fluent French. With CapMin, Jon has now served for a total of 11 years.


“I’ve had an life-long passion for seeing our Christian values in the marketplace and public arena,” he explains. “As Edmund Burke has said, ‘All evil needs to triumph is for good men to do nothing.’

“So, we must be pro-active in supporting godly men and women to be elected to public office,” he continues, “and then following through to see that they have the opportunity to be disciplined through solid Bible study.”

With this outlook, he leads a weekly Bible study in French with the Baka-speaking pygmy peoples in the rain forest of eastern Cameroon. As he teaches, the study is simultaneously translated into the Baka language. Jon is so committed to this ministry that he lives there among the remote pygmies.

With so much of his own service experience, Jon understands that ministering to public servants has the ability to change nations. “How can we expect righteous, biblical governance from legislators who do not know, or adhere to, the teachings of the Bible?” he asks. “Bible studies will lead to spiritual development and maturity and will result in right thinking and biblical laws.”

As he continues to partner with CapMin, Jon has a clear goal in mind: to see as many countries in Africa as possible with thriving Bible-teaching ministries in their capitals.





*Making Disciples
of Jesus Christ
in the Political Arena
Throughout the World*



Capitol Ministries® provides Bible studies, evangelism, and discipleship to political leaders.

Founded in 1996, Capitol Ministries has started ongoing ministries in more than forty U.S. state capitols and dozens of foreign federal capitols.

Capitol Ministries

Mail Processing Center
Post Office 30994
Phoenix, AZ 85046
661.288.2622
capmin.org

© 2025 Capitol Ministries®
All rights reserved.



[/capitolministries](http://capitolministries)

1. Joel A. Carpenter, *Revive Us Again: The Reawakening of American Fundamentalism* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1997), 36.
2. Ibid, 11.
3. J. Gresham Machen, *Christianity and Liberalism* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1923).
4. Ned B. Stonehouse, *J. Gresham Machen, A Biographical Memoir* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1955).
5. *Christian Life Magazine*, Volume 17, No. 11, March 1956.
6. See *The Lausanne Covenant*, The Lausanne Committee on World Evangelization at www.lausanne.org. One of the takeaways of this historic gathering was the ensuing statement from the convention that the Church needed to possess “two wings on the bird” so to speak. By that they meant one of evangelism and the other of social involvement. The inclusion of this represents perhaps the Neo-evangelical influence present at the convention. The famous British theologian, John Stott, was to write the theology for the second aspect of this statement, to justify its inclusion, but it never materialized beyond a simple listing of scriptural

references in supposed support of the previously written summary statement. The wording and organizational outline in the Lausanne Covenant appears to give equal weight to evangelism and social involvement. But these two directives are not biblically on equal ground. Based on Scripture, this is faulty theology. The Bible teaches (cf. Matthew 5:16) that social involvement is a means to an end; it is to be used by the Christian to achieve the ultimate objective of evangelism. Spiritual maturation (Matthew 5:1–12) leads to cultural participation (Matthew 5:13–15), which ultimately leads to the evangelization of others (Matthew 5:16). Personal spiritual maturation will be indicated by one’s cultural participation, which then testifies of God and one’s need for Him in a fallen, onlooking world. This progression reveals the biblical formula for being an effective ambassador for Christ.

7. Jerry Falwell, *Falwell: An Autobiography* (Lynchburg: Liberty House Publishers, 1997).
8. Tom Minnery, *Why You Can’t Stay Silent: A Biblical Mandate to Shape Our Culture* (Wheaton: Tyndale House Publishers, 2001).

ESTABLISHED MINISTRIES: UNITED STATES

Albany NEW YORK • Annapolis MARYLAND • Atlanta GEORGIA • Augusta MAINE • Austin TEXAS • Bismarck NORTH DAKOTA • Boise IDAHO
Boston MASSACHUSETTS • Denver COLORADO • Dover DELAWARE • Carson City NEVADA • Charleston WEST VIRGINIA • Cheyenne WYOMING
Columbia SOUTH CAROLINA • Columbus OHIO • Concord NEW HAMPSHIRE • Des Moines IOWA • Hartford CONNECTICUT
Harrisburg PENNSYLVANIA • Helena MONTANA • Honolulu HAWAII • Jefferson City MISSOURI • Juneau ALASKA • Lansing MICHIGAN
Lincoln NEBRASKA • Little Rock ARKANSAS • Madison WISCONSIN • Montgomery ALABAMA • Montpelier VERMONT
Nashville TENNESSEE • Oklahoma City OKLAHOMA • Olympia WASHINGTON • Phoenix ARIZONA • Providence RHODE ISLAND
Raleigh NORTH CAROLINA • Richmond VIRGINIA • Sacramento CALIFORNIA • Saint Paul MINNESOTA • Salem OREGON
Santa Fe NEW MEXICO • Springfield ILLINOIS • Topeka KANSAS • Trenton NEW JERSEY

ESTABLISHED MINISTRIES: INTERNATIONAL

Brussels BELGIUM • Porto Novo BENIN • Brasilia BRAZIL • Ouagadougou BURKINA FASO • Gitega BURUNDI • Yaounde CAMEROON • Manitoba CANADA
Bangui CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC • San Jose COSTA RICA • Yamoussoukro COTE D'IVOIRE • Kinshasa DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO
Quito ECUADOR • Malabo EQUATORIAL GUINEA • Mbabane ESWATINI • Addis Ababa ETHIOPIA • Brussels EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT
Helsinki FINLAND • Suva FIJI • Libreville GABON • Strasbourg GERMANY • Accra GHANA • Conakry GUINEA • Port-au-Prince HAITI
Tegucigalpa HONDURAS • New Delhi INDIA • Rome ITALY • Nairobi KENYA • Riga LATVIA • Monrovia LIBERIA • Vilnius LITHUANIA
Antananarivo MADAGASCAR • Lilongwe MALAWI • Mexico City MEXICO • Chisinau MOLDOVA • Maputo MOZAMBIQUE • Nauru • Kathmandu NEPAL
Wellington NEW ZEALAND • Abuja NIGERIA • Skopje NORTH MACEDONIA • Oslo NORWAY • Ngerulmud PALAU • Port Moresby PAPUA NEW GUINEA
Warsaw POLAND • Brazzaville REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO • Bucharest ROMANIA • Apia SAMOA • Edinburgh SCOTLAND • Belgrade SERBIA • Freetown SIERRA LEONE • Bratislava SLOVAKIA • Honiara SOLOMON ISLANDS • Pretoria SOUTH AFRICA • Seoul SOUTH KOREA
Sri Jayawardanapura Kotte SRI LANKA • Bern SWITZERLAND • Papeete TAHITI • Dodoma TANZANIA • Nukualofa TONGA • Kyiv UKRAINE
London UNITED KINGDOM • Washington, D.C. USA • Montevideo URUGUAY • Port Vila VANUATU • Cardiff WALES • Lusaka ZAMBIA • Harare ZIMBABWE